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**Abstract**

Ganitri (*Elaeocarpus sphaericus* Schum.) is a fast-growing species that was majority planted in community-based forests in Java. This research aimed to evaluate the natural durability and drying properties of ganitri wood, hence the best uses of the wood can be achieved. The wood durability was tested in laboratory and field scales based on SNI 7207:2014 and ASTM D 1758-02 standards respectively, while the wood treatibility evaluation used soaking method with 5% borax preservative. The wood drying property was assessed through oven drying at 100°C temperature based on Terazawa method. The resistance of the wood against subterranean termites *Coptotermes curvignathus* is classified as durability class IV. Ganitri wood was very easy to be preserved with the cold soaking method. Boron retention in ganitri was 22.87 kg.m⁻³, while its penetration was 27.80 mm or 94.24%. Ganitri had rather poor drying properties, which was prone to surface check. The proper drying for ganitri wood was suggested using initial and final temperatures 53°C and 83°C, respectively, while the initial and final relative humidity were 85% and 30%.
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**Introduction**

The use of fast-growing wood species from community forests tends to increase. Meanwhile, optimal wood utilization requires a good understanding of wood properties. Many wood species from community forests are used as materials for buildings, furniture and other products. Ganitri is a fast-growing species that has been widely cultivated in several community forests in Java (Rohandi and Gunawan 2014). Ganitri (*Elaeocarpus sphaericus* Schum) is a tropical plant that grows and spreads from India, Nepal, Sri Lanka, Burma, Malaysia, and Indonesia. In Indonesia, ganitri trees can be found in the islands of Sumatra, Java, Kalimantan, Bali, Sulawesi and Nusa Tenggara. Ganitri can grow well from the coast to 1200 meters above sea level (Zuhud et al. 2013).

The seeds of ganitri are generally harvested and are well known as one of the non-wood forest products. Moreover, the wood of ganitri can be used as carpentry and building materials (Rohandi and Gunawan 2014). Ganitri wood has yellow heartwood and white sapwood, smooth texture, with straight and interlocked grain. The air-dry density of ganitri wood is 0.35 and is classified in strength class IV. The wood can be used as light construction, wooden tools, furniture, plywood, and panel products (Prihatini 2020). Furthermore, ganitri wood is easily preserved by the soaking method for up to 3 days so that the retention and penetration of boric acid equivalent (BAE) 10% met the requirements of the Indonesian National Standard (SNI) (Suhaendah and Siarudin 2015).

Some basic properties of ganitri wood have been studied as described above. However, there is insufficient scientific information on the natural durability and drying properties of ganitri wood, which are very important for proper processing and utilization to produce high-quality wood products. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the natural durability and drying properties of ganitri wood. Wood durability will determine the service life of wood products. Low durability wood will require preservation to protect from biodeterioration.

**Materials and Methods**

**Natural Durability**

The natural durability of ganitri wood (*Elaeocarpus sphaericus* Schum) against subterranean termites was tested in a laboratory scale based on SNI 7207:2014 standard (BSN 2014). Wood samples with the size of 2.5 cm x 2.5 cm x 0.5 cm were oven-dried at a temperature of 60 ± 2°C for 48 hours to get the dry weight of the wood samples before testing (W₁).

A total of 200 grams of sterile sand was put into a test bottle, followed by adding 30 ml of distilled water to regulate the water content of the sand. Then 200 subterranean termites (*Coptotermes curvignathus* Holmgren) from the worker caste were placed into the bottle. Furthermore, the test bottles were sealed using aluminum foil with some tiny holes for air circulation and kept in the dark for 4 weeks. For comparison in this study, jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*) and sengon (*Falcatoria moluccana*) woods represent durability class II and class IV wood, respectively. After four weeks of test, the wood samples were cleaned and then oven-dried at a temperature of 60 ± 2°C for 48 hours to get wood sample weight after testing (W₂) and to calculate the weight loss of wood sample (Equation 1). The durability classifications against subterranean termites attack referred to the Indonesian National Standard 7207-2014 (Table 1).
The wood damages due to termites and fungi were visually graded based on the criteria to calculate the wood weight loss. After 3 months of exposure, the sample woods were cleaned and oven dried to get the moisture content below 16%. Both ends of wood samples were coated with paraffin then weighed before treatment (W0). Then the wood samples were soaked in borax solution at a concentration of 5% for 48 hours. After preservation, the samples were weighed (W1) to determine the retention of borax in the samples using Equation 2.

\[
R = \frac{W_1 - W_0 \times C}{V}
\]

where:
- \( R \) = Retention (kg.m\(^{-3}\))
- \( W_0 \) = Wood weight before preservation (kg)
- \( W_1 \) = Wood weight after preservation (kg)
- \( V \) = Wood volume (m\(^3\))
- \( C \) = Concentration of preservative solution (%)

The wood samples were air-dried and cut into two pieces in the middle of the sample. The sample cross-sections were sprayed with reagent A and B sequentially to determine boron penetration. Reagent A consisted of 10 g of turmeric powder in 100 ml of alcohol, while reagent B contained 80 ml of alcohol and 20 ml of hydrochloric acid, which was saturated with salicylic acid. The color change to red indicated the presence of boron in the wood. Penetration measurements were performed in 2 ways. The penetration depth was directly measured at the penetrated cross-section from four sides and then averaged (Equation 3). The second penetration was calculated as the penetration percentage from the total cross-section area (Equation 4). The penetrated area was drawn on transparent plastic and overplayed on millimeter block paper for measuring the areas.

\[
\bar{X} = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4}{4}
\]

\[
X = \frac{A_p}{A_t} \times 100
\]

where:
- \( \bar{X} \) = Penetration (mm or %)
- \( X_{1,2,3,4} \) = Penetration from four sides of sample
- \( A_p \) = Penetrated area (mm\(^2\))
- \( A_t \) = Total cross section area (mm\(^2\))

**Drying Properties**

The drying properties test of wood is based on Terazawa (1965) method. Wood sample size was 20 cm × 10 cm × 2.5 cm at fresh conditions (moisture content > 30%) with 12 replication. The samples were dried at 100°C up to...
a constant oven-dried weight. Observation of defects (checks) was done every three hours. Deformation and internal check were evaluated at the end of drying. The samples were also weighed regularly. All the defects were measured and scored. The most severe defect score was used to determine the minimum and maximum temperatures and relative humidity for drying the wood (Table 4).

Table 4. Temperatures (T) and relative humidity (RH) in the initial and final drying (Terazawa 1965)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Defects</th>
<th>T (°C) and RH (%)</th>
<th>Defect Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial T</td>
<td>70 65 55 55 53 50 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial RH</td>
<td>75 78 82 83 85 90 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final T</td>
<td>95 90 85 83 82 81 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final RH</td>
<td>29 29 27 30 30 28 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface check</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial T</td>
<td>70 66 58 54 50 49 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial RH</td>
<td>75 75 78 81 81 85 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final T</td>
<td>95 88 83 80 77 75 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final RH</td>
<td>29 29 25 27 28 27 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformation check</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial T</td>
<td>70 55 50 49 48 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial RH</td>
<td>75 81 81 85 85 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final T</td>
<td>95 83 77 73 71 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final RH</td>
<td>29 27 25 27 27 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Check</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Analyses

The durability, treatability and drying properties data of wood were analyzed descriptively. The effect of wood species on wood durability and treatability was analyzed using variance analysis (ANAVA) with a completely randomized experimental design and further Duncan multiple range tests when the ANAVA showed a significant effect at 95% confidence interval.

Results and Discussion

The Natural Durability of Ganitri Wood

Based on four months of laboratory testing, ganitri wood was attacked by subterranean termites, as well as jackfruit and sengon woods. The weight loss of woods due to termites’ attacks is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1 shows that the weight loss of ganitri wood was slightly lower than sengon but much higher than jackfruit samples, which indicated that ganitri wood is more durable than wood sengon but less durable than jackfruit wood. Pandit and Kurniawan (2008) stated that the natural durability of wood sengon is classified into durable class IV–V, so sengon is prone to subterranean termites. Febrianto et al. (2013) stated that the natural durability of jackfruit from the termites belonged to class II, which means that the wood is resistant to the termites. According to Morimoto et al. (2006), A. heterophyllus wood contained artocarpin that had a fatal effect against Reticulitermes speratus termites. In addition, Saiin (2017) reported that jackfruit wood extractives contained morine substance. It was alleged that this extractive substance was unfavorable to termites. Syafi’i (2001) also stated that extractive substances could cause woods to have high natural durability.

The analysis of variance revealed that the wood species significantly affected the weight loss of the sample at a 95% confidence interval. In this lab experiment, the Duncan test showed that the weight loss of ganitri wood was not significantly different from that of sengon wood, but it was significantly higher than that of jackfruit wood. The higher the wood weight loss value, the lower wood durability from subterranean termites attack. Therefore, the resistance of sengon and ganitri woods against subterranean termites was relatively similar (class IV), but less resistant than that of jackfruit wood.

The durability field test shows that wood damage was mostly caused by subterranean termites’ attacks, particularly Macrotermes gilvus (Figure 2). Besides, there were also some fungal attacks. Heavy damage occurred in ganitri and sengon woods due to termites attacks, while less damage occurred in jackfruit wood (Figure 3). The weight loss of ganitri wood was 86.4%, while in sengon and jackfruit woods were 64.4% and 0.7%, respectively.
The field ground contact test, the Trisna attack. wetting and attack by fungi, it is more favorable to termite that was field than that of sengon. However, in lab tests, ganitri wood that was more prone to biodeterioration in the Table and fungal attacks. Termites will choose the most favorable containing contact or bite the wood as a nutrient source. Wood of different woods affect the behavior of termites when they fungi. The visual grading of defects generally showed similar results to the weight loss variable. Ganitri wood had the lowest grade (Table 5), which indicated the lowest durability against termite and fungi. Jackfruit wood was more durable than sengon wood. In the field ground contact test, the sample woods were influenced by daily wet (rain) and dry that support the biodeterioration process by termites and fungi. Nuriyatin et al. (2003) reported that the characteristics of different woods affect the behavior of termites when they contact or bite the wood as a nutrient source. Wood containing toxic extractive substances can prevent termite and fungal attacks. Termites will choose the most favorable wood for their food. This visual grading also showed that termite attack was more severe than fungal attack.

Comparing the durability in lab and field tests shows that ganitri wood was more prone to biodeterioration in the field than that of sengon. However, in lab tests, ganitri wood was more resistant to termite than sengon wood. It reveals that when ganitri wood is influenced by environmental wetting and attack by fungi, it is more favorable to termite attack.

The Preservative Treatability

The retention of borax preservative in ganitri wood was 22.9 kg.m⁻³, while the preservative penetration was 27.8 mm (Table 6). Both retention and penetration of preservatives in this research fulfilled Indonesian National Standard (SNI 03-5010.1-1999). According to this SNI standard, preservative retention for indoor and outdoor are 8.0 kg.m⁻³ and 11.0 kg.m⁻³, respectively, while the penetration must be more than 5 mm (BSN 1999).

The penetration of borax preservative into ganitri wood was about 94.2% (Table 6). It revealed that based on the classification of IUFRO in Smith and Tamblyn (1970), the treatability of ganitri wood was easy. Therefore ganitri wood can be preserved with borax preservative by soaking method for 48 hours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Testing Variables</th>
<th>Testing Values</th>
<th>Standard Values</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retention (kg.m⁻³)</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>8 (indoor)</td>
<td>SNI 03-5010.1-1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetration (mm)</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>SNI 03-5010.1-1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetration (%)</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>&gt; 90 (easy)</td>
<td>IUFRO method (Smith and Tamblyn 1970)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Drying Properties

The drying test results in that ganitri wood had fairly poor drying properties (Table 7). This wood was prone to surface check with a score of 5. The surface check mainly occurred at the beginning of the drying process when the moisture content of wood is still high. According to Yamashita et al. (2013), a wood surface check is generally caused by dimensional changes that are not the same in all wood parts. The surface of wood dries up faster, but the wood inside is still saturated with water. Checks generally occur on parenchyma rays in the wood because they are the weakest part of the wood structure. According to Rasmussen (1961), surface checks frequently occur in the rays, resin canals, and mineral layers. The way to avoid this defect is by providing high air humidity at the beginning of the drying process, and the temperature should not be too high (Walker 2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface Checks</th>
<th>Internal Checks</th>
<th>Deformation</th>
<th>Max. Score</th>
<th>Drying Prop.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>min</td>
<td>max</td>
<td>min</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The worst defect that occurred in ganitri wood was surface checks with a score of 5 (Table 7). Therefore it suggested drying temperature was 53–82°C, while the relative humidity (RH) was 85%–30% (Table 8).

Table 8. The drying temperatures and relative humidity (RH) for ganitri wood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wood</th>
<th>Temperatures (°C)</th>
<th>RH (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>Final</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganitri</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

The durability of ganitri wood from subterranean termite is about the same as sengon wood, class IV. Ganitri wood can be easily preserved with borax preservatives. Its retention was 22.9 kg/m³, while the penetration was 27.8 mm or 94.2%. The drying property of ganitri wood is fairly bad. The suggested drying for this wood is using temperature 53–82 °C and relative humidity 85–30%.
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